

On semidirect products of biresiduation algebras

Jan Kühr

Department of Algebra and Geometry, Palacký University Olomouc, Czechia
jan.kuhr@upol.cz

Biresiduation algebras or pseudo-BCK-algebras are the $\{/, \backslash, 1\}$ -subreducts of integral residuated po-monoids (or lattices). We will discuss semidirect products of biresiduation algebras, with emphasis on divisible biresiduation algebras.

To begin with, we recall that a *biresiduation algebra* [8] or a *pseudo-BCK-algebra* [3] is an algebra $(A, /, \backslash, 1)$ satisfying the equations $(y/x)\backslash((z/y)\backslash(z/x)) = 1$, $((x\backslash z)/(y\backslash z))/(x\backslash y) = 1$, $1\backslash x = x$, $x/1 = x$, $x\backslash 1 = 1$, $1/x = 1$, and the quasi-equation $(x\backslash y = 1 \ \& \ y\backslash x = 1) \Rightarrow x = y$. Following [9], we call a biresiduation algebra *divisible* if it satisfies the equations $(x\backslash y)\backslash(x\backslash z) = (y\backslash x)\backslash(y\backslash z)$ and $(z/x)/(y/x) = (z/y)/(x/y)$ (which in case of integral residuated po-monoids are equivalent to the divisibility law). By a *closure endomorphism* we mean an endomorphism that is also a closure operator.

Given two nontrivial biresiduation algebras C , D and an action ρ of C on D we define the *semidirect product* $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$ to be $\{(a, x) \in C \times D : \rho(a, x) = x\}$ with $(a, x)\backslash(b, y) = (a\backslash b, x\backslash \rho(a, y))$ and $(b, y)/(a, x) = (b/a, \rho(a, y)/x)$. If the action ρ satisfies certain conditions resembling divisibility and the maps $\rho(a, -)$ are closure endomorphisms of D , then $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$ is a biresiduation algebra (with a closure endomorphism), and $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$ is divisible if and only if both C and D are divisible.

This construction is a quite straightforward generalization of *symmetric semidirect products* of the so-called CKL-algebras [6] (which are equivalent divisible BCK-algebras or HBCK-algebras [1]) as well as of *quasidirect products* of Hilbert algebras [2]. In fact, similarly to [4], it goes back to the construction of implicative semilattices from triples consisting of a boolean algebra, an implicative semilattice and an *admissible function* [5].

If A is a divisible biresiduation algebra with a fixed closure endomorphism δ , then $C = \delta(A)$ is a subalgebra of A , $D = \delta^{-1}(1)$ is a filter of A (hence a biresiduation algebra) and, for every $a \in C$, the map $\rho(a, -) = a\backslash -$ is a closure endomorphism of D . Thus we can construct the semidirect product $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$. Though A is in general smaller than $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$, the two algebras determine essentially the same triples. In some particular cases, $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$ is isomorphic to A . For example, this happens when A is a BL-algebra and the fixed closure endomorphism δ is just the double negation (this generalizes the results of [4]).

For divisible biresiduation algebras, we have an adjunction between the category of algebras with closure endomorphisms and the category of “modules”/triples. Specifically, (i) let \mathcal{A} be the category of divisible biresiduation algebras with fixed closure endomorphisms, i.e., algebras (A, δ) , with morphisms = homomorphisms, and (ii) let \mathcal{M} be the category of “modules” D over C , i.e., triples (C, D, ρ) where C , D are divisible biresiduation algebras and ρ an action of C on D , with morphisms from (C, D, ρ) to (C_1, D_1, ρ_1) defined as pairs of homomorphisms $f: C \rightarrow C_1$, $g: D \rightarrow D_1$ such that $g(\rho(a, x)) = \rho_1(f(a), g(x))$ for all $a \in C$ and $x \in D$. Then, using the assignments “algebra $(A, \delta) \mapsto$ triple (C, D, ρ) ” and “triple $(C, D, \rho) \mapsto$ semidirect product $C \ltimes_{\rho} D$ ” described above, we define adjoint functors $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, with $F \dashv G$.

We will also discuss the role of n -potent elements and characterize the so-called *quasi-decompositions* (in the sense of [7] or [2]) corresponding to closure endomorphisms of divisible biresiduation algebras.

References

- [1] W. J. Blok and I. M. A. Ferreirim, *Hoops and their implicational reducts (abstract)*, Algebraic Methods in Logic and Computer Science, Banach Center Publications, vol. 28, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1993, pp. 219–230.
- [2] J. Cīrulis, *Quasi-decompositions and quasidirect products of Hilbert algebras*, Math. Slovaca **71** (2021), 781–806.
- [3] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BCK algebras: An extension of BCK algebras*, Combinatorics, Computability and Logic, Proceedings of DMTCS'01, Springer, 2001, pp. 97–114.
- [4] F. Montagna and S. Ugolini, *A categorical equivalence for product algebras*, Stud. Log. **103** (2015), 345–373.
- [5] W. C. Nemitz, *Implicative semilattices*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 128–142.
- [6] W. Rump, *A general Glivenko theorem*, Algebra Univers. **61** (2009), 455–473.
- [7] J. Schmidt, *Quasi-decompositions, exact sequences, and triple sums of semigroups I, II*, Contributions to Universal Algebra, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, vol. 17, North-Holland, 1977, pp. 365–397, 399–428.
- [8] C. J. van Alten, *On varieties of biresiduation algebras*, Stud. Log. **83** (2006), 425–445.
- [9] T. Vetterlein, *Pseudo-BCK algebras as partial algebras*, Inf. Sci. **180** (2010), 5101–5114.